Euro-Peristat study on intrauterine growth references

Jennifer Zeitlin INSERM U1153 Obstetrical, Perinatal and Paediatric Epidemiology Research Team





Institut national de la santé et de la recherche médicale

Fetal growth restriction (FGR)

- Fetal growth restriction (FGR) is a failure of the fetus to reach its full growth potential.
- Associated with risks of stillbirth, birth hypoxia, neonatal morbidity, neonatal death and neuro-developmental impairment
- No straightforward way to identify FGR infants.
 - Because growth potential is unknown
 - FGR is caused by multiple maternal and fetal conditions

Small for gestational age (SGA)

- FGR most often defined as estimated fetal weight or birthweight < 10th percentile for gestational age or SGA
- The 10th percentile is associated with higher mortality and morbidity in many studies.
- This definition is
 - recommended for screening purposes during pregnancy
 - used for epidemiological and clinical surveillance after birth.

Which growth references should be used to define the 10th percentile

- Growth references based on birthweight are not appropriate because of the association of growth restriction with prematurity
- However, most studies use neonatal growth references, with a few exceptions (ex. Sweden), no consensus on which intrauterine references should be used
- Problem of which references to use is compounded in multinational studies

Marsal K et al. Acta Paediatr 1996;85(7):843-8.



Which growth references should be used?

- Gardosi's approach for customised references to generate country-specific references
 - Ego A et al. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2006
 - Mikolajczyk et al. *Lancet*, 2011
- Common reference for all countries
 - Villar et al. The lancet. Diabetes & endocrinology, 2014
- Questions:
 - How does the Gardosi model fare for assessing growth restriction in a very preterm population?
 - Can one reference be used for European populations?

Aims

• To develop intrauterine growth references for adapted to European countries

• Use them to measure proportions of small for gestational age (SGA) infants

• To measure the impact of using countryspecific versus common European references.

Methods – developing references

- We adapted the approach proposed by Gardosi et al. based on Hadlock's fetal growth equation
- Expected fetal weights at each GA are represented as a % of the expected weight at 40 weeks of gestation
- Percentiles are established by assuming a normal distribution using the coefficient of variation at term.
 - Country-specific references by sex for each country
 - Common European references by sex using the median values (boys (3597g), girls (3444g))
 - The median CV of 12% was used for all models

Data

- Euro-Peristat data collection in 2010
- Mean birthweights of girls and boys at 40 weeks in European countries

| Core indicator #4: Distribution of birth weight (by plurality) | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Definition: The number of live births within each 500 grams birth weight interval as a proportion of all live births. | | | | | | | |
| Attention: include all live born babies at or after 22 completed weeks of gestation. | | | | | | | |
| Are you able to provide data using this definition? 🔽 yes 🗔 no | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| What is the mean birth weight at 40 completed weeks of gestation for boys (40+0 - 40+6)? | 3511.89 SD: | 412.33 | | | | | |
| What is the mean birth weight at 40 completed weeks of gestation for girls (40+0 - 40+6)? | 3378.68 SD: | 393.87 | | | | | |

- Objective: Use these data to define
 - Country-specific intrauterine references
 - European references



Data requested

- If possible:
 - individual data on 5 variables for all SINGLETON births in 2010 and the most recent year
 - Gestational age, birthweight, Sex, vital status, neonatal death
 - aggregated data computing tables directly using a SAS or STATA macro to built tables directly
 - Waiting to finalize analysis strategy using individual level data to send programme

Results



Preliminary data – 12 regions/countries

| Country | years | Total live births |
|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| AUSTRIA | (2010/2104) | 155,008 |
| BELGIUM | (2010/2014) | 72,721 |
| CATALONIA | (2010) | 80,312 |
| CYPRUS | (2007-2013) | 20,816 |
| ESTONIA | (2010/2014) | 30,714 |
| FINLAND | (2010/2014) | 115,407 |
| FRANCE | (2010) | 14,326 |
| LATVIA | (2010/2014) | 39,495 |
| MALTA | (2010/2014) | 8,049 |
| NORWAY | (2010/2014) | 118,165 |
| PORTUGAL | (2010/2013) | 179,048 |
| SWITZERLAND | (2010/2013) | 156,384 |

% SGA, using the European references (live singleton births)



20

% SGA, using European references sorted by BW at 40 wks (live singletons)



% SGA, using country-specific references (live singleton births)



% SGA, using intergrowth newborn references (starting at 33 wks GA)



Villar J, Cheikh Ismail L, Victora CG, Ohuma EO, Bertino E, Altman DG, et al. International standards for newborn weight, length, and head circumference by gestational age and sex: the Newborn Cross-Sectional Study of the INTERGROWTH-21st Project. *Lancet* 2014;384(9946):857-68.

Summary

- European references give very different SGA rates from country to country
- Associated with birthweight at term
- Disappear when country-specific curves are used
- Intergrowth newborn references give very low estimates of SGA
- Consequences
 - Implications of having different % of babies under the 10th percentile (research, use of resources if used for screening)
 - Similar identification of infants at risks

Some next steps for analysis

- Study the mortality by birthweight percentile for both sets of references
 - -<3rd, <10th
 - Stillbirths, neonatal deaths, perinatal deaths
- Explore percentile at which mortality is lowest
 - By gestational age group
 - By reference
 - By outcome (stillbirth vs neonatal death)

Addition of more countries

- Individual level data
 - UK: Scotland can participate: we have completed data access form
 - Add other PREBIC countries? US, Canada, Japan?
- Aggregate tables
 - Countries that cannot provide individual data
 - « meta-analysis approach » is less flexible, harder to pool data

How create aggregate tables using SAS macro program?

- Automatic program to create percentiles:
 <3/3-9.99/10-89.99/90-96.99/>=97
- European curve: please enter in the macro program
 - Median BW for boys (3597g), Median BW for girls (3444g), and Coefficient of variation (12%)
- Country curve: please enter in the macro program
 - Mean BW at 40 weeks for boys and girls and Coefficient of variation for boys and girls
 - Correspond to data you provided inEuro-Peristat data collection in 2010 (C4)

How create aggregate tables using SAS macro program?

- Output (example from Finland data)
 - European common reference: SINGLETON STILLBIRTHS BOYS

| | Table | e de GA pa | ar PERCEN | TILE_5cl_EC | ; | | | |
|-----------|------------------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|------------|---------|--|--|
| | PERCEN | TILE_5cl_ | EC(PERCE | NTILE <3/3- | 9.99/10-89 | .99/90- | | |
| GA | 96.99/>=97 - European curve) | | | | | | | |
| Fréquence | <3 | 3-9.99 | 10-89.99 | 90-96.99 | >=97 | Total | | |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 5 | | |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | | |
| 25 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | | |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | |
| 27 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | | |
| 28 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | | |
| 29 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | | |
| 30 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | | |
| 31 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | | |
| 32 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | | |
| 33 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | | |
| 34 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | | |
| 36 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | | |
| 37 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | | |
| 38 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | | |
| 39 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | | |
| 40 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 8 | | |
| 41 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | | |
| Total | 23 | 7 | 41 | 3 | 4 | 78 | | |

You can develop a Stata program if we don't use SAS software 23